Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
Condition in dispute Condition 2 Prior to the lodgement of an application for a construction certificate, the applicant shall submit plans and specifications satisfying the following requirements to Willoughby City Council for approval. A letter confirming the documentation is approved by Willoughby City Council, shall then be submitted to the certifying authority for the application of a construction certificate which incorporates the changes outlined below.	<ul> <li>Condition 2</li> <li>Submit the following to Willoughby City Council</li> <li>Prior to the lodgement of an application for a construction certificate, the applicant shall submit plans and specifications satisfying the following requirements to Willoughby City Council for approval. A letter confirming the documentation is approved by Willoughby City Council, shall then be submitted to the certifying authority for the application of a construction certificate which incorporates the changes outlined below.</li> <li>The following issues shall be submitted to Council for approval.</li> <li>The following issues shall be submitted to Council for approval:</li> <li>1.4 The above component of condition 2 requires the applicant to submit amended plans to the Council for approval. The list of requirements under Condition 2 are specific requirements and therefore unreasonable that such matters could not be signed off by the PCA. The requirement to refer amended plans back to the Council may result in delays when this function can appropriately be undertaken by the PCA.</li> <li>1.5 It is therefore requested that this part of Condition 2 be amended as follows:</li> <li>Prior to the lodgement of an application for a construction certificate, the applicant shall submit plans and specifications satisfying the following requirements to Willoughby City Council the Principal Certifying Authority for approval. A letter confirming the documentation is approved by Willoughby City Council the here the certifying authority for the certifying authority for the application of a construction certificate, the application of a construction certificate, the applicant shall submit plans and specifications satisfying the following requirements to Willoughby City Council the Principal Certifying Authority for approval. A letter confirming the documentation is approved by Willoughby City Council shall then be submitted to the certifying authority for the application of a construction certificate which incorporates the changes outlined</li></ul>	Councils ResponsePartly agreed.Conditions 2 (a), (b), (f) and (h) include design changes which are critical to a recommendation of approval. As such, Council needs some certainty that the design changes are made as intended and are not interpreted in any other way.Conditions 2 (c), (d), (e), (g) can be moved to Condition 3 and amendments can be approved by the Principal Certifying Authority.Conditions 2 (i), (j), (k), (l) and (n) can be moved to Condition 3 subject to an additional paragraph being included on each condition to read as follows:A design certificate by a qualified civil engineer certifying compliance with condition and relevant standards and Willoughby Development Control Plan shall accompany the design drawings and be submitted to the Accredited Certifier for approval.
	The following issues shall be submitted to Gouncil the PCA for approval:	Conditions 2 (m), (o) and (p) are to remain as they have potential impact on the usability/maintenance of future public open space.

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
Condition 2a a) The internal depth of the lower ground floor level of all dwellings is not to exceed 9.5 metres. Plans detailing these amendments are to be submitted to Council for approval.	<ul> <li>Condition 2a <ul> <li>a) The internal depth of the lower ground floor level of all dwellings is not to exceed 9.5 metres. Plans detailing these amendments are to be submitted to Council for approval.</li> </ul> </li> <li>1.6 The intent of this condition is to ensure the garage depth does not facilitate cars parked in tandem format, accordingly resulting in additional off street parking. The basement design facilitates the parking of two vehicles side by side and the alignment of the basement walls with the structure above to enable the support of walls and simplicity in excavation and construction.</li> <li>1.7 The additional space behind the parking spaces serves a range of functions including access to the internal stairs/lift, storage and location of services such as water tanks. In most instances, a wall has been provided to separate the parking area from the 'access and service areas' therefore restricting the ability for tandem parking. To ensure the basement design does not facilitate additional tandem parking it is requested that Condition 2a be amended to read: <ul> <li>a) The portion of the lower ground floor used for parking vehicles should not internal depth of the lower ground floor level of all dwellings is not to exceed 9.5 metres. Plans detailing these amendments are to be submitted to Council-the PCA for approval.</li> </ul></li></ul>	Not agreed. The modification as proposed by the applicant is not accepted as the garages could be readily modified to allow for additional cars without the consent of Council.
<b>Condition 2b</b> b) The southern upper level building line (exclusive of balcony) of lots 42, 47, 48 and 49 are to be setback 2 metres from the building line (exclusive of balcony) of the level below. The eastern upper level building line of Lot 49 is to be setback 1.5 metres from the building line of the level below.	<ul> <li>Condition 2b</li> <li>b) The southern upper level building line (exclusive of balcony) of lots 42, 47, 48 and 49 are to be setback 2 metres from the building line (exclusive of balcony) of the level below. The eastern upper level building line of Lot 49 is to be setback 1.5 metres from the building line of the level below.</li> <li>1.8 We would request that the panel delete the above condition as it relates to the southern setback of the upper levels to dwellings 42, 47 &amp; 48 (Lot 49 will be addressed separately below).</li> <li>1.9 The design of the southern facades to these houses includes the main façade setback a minimum of 3m from the front boundary. The balconies with their framed supporting elements and roofs create the main façade of these dwellings. This is consistent with the façade design established across the site at Kiah development. For these houses the third storey is setback approximately 2m from the main façade alignment, such that it is setback in excess of 5m from the street frontage. The design provides an upper level setback greater than the 1m required by the design guidelines, to achieve a greater stepped effect and a modulated and articulated building form and streetscape.</li> </ul>	<b>Not agreed.</b> As per the assessment report, the dwellings on Lots 42, 47, 48, 49 occupy prominent positions adjoining the park land. The bulk and scale of the dwellings is excessive relative to its relationship to the park and the topography of the site and contrary to the intent of the Masterplan and Design Guidelines. Further, the height of the proposed dwellings is excessive and contrary to the Masterplan and Design Guidelines which are intent on emphasising a 2 storey scale. The dwellings will be 3 storeys which is only permitted, in accordance with Part 4.2.3 of the Design Guidelines, on sites which allow for a semibasement level. The FFL of the "lower ground level" is at or above the road level and is clearly not a semi basement, in appearance or by definition (see Part 7.2.6 of the Design Guidelines). Further, these dwellings (with the exception of Lot 48) exceed the Willoughby Local Environmental Plan 2012 height control of 9 metres. The requirement to recess the upper level by 2 metres as per proposed condition 2b is to give a 2 storey scale as intended by the Design Guidelines. This is illustrated below. Further, it will ensure closer compliance with the WLEP 2012 height control.

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
	<list-item><list-item><list-item></list-item></list-item></list-item>	With respect to the proposed modifications to Lot 49, as per the assessment report, the alternative design requires proposed public open space in front of Lot 49 to be used as a driveway. This is not considered to be an acceptable outcome as it would reduce the amount of public land and reduce the opportunity for greening and screening the development. Further, it would be inconsistent with the Masterplan and be contrary to the intent of the Masterplan and Design Guidelines which seeks to reduce the dominance of the garaging as viewed from the Public Reserve.The "shared way" referred to in Point 1.16, in accordance with condition 4.15 of DA-2004/521, is a pathway for bikes and pedestrians connecting road No. 3 and Road No. 5. This is a public pathway which will need a width of approximately 2.5 metres. Any potential driveway to Lot 49 (as proposed in the amended plan) would need to be separated from the shared way and need to be a minimum of 3 metres leaving an expanse of 5.5 metres of paved area in front of Lot 49 with limited ability for soft landscaping.

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
	<ul> <li>1.15 The JRPP report raised no concern with the re-alignment of 4 additional dwellings to provide access off Road No. 3 and the report specifically notes 'this arrangement is considered preferable given the presence of traffic signals at the Mowbray Place /Alpha Road intersection which facilitates both left and right turn ingress and egress'.</li> <li>1.16 The re-alignment of the dwelling off Road No. 3 results in a significant reduction in building height and builk of the eastern elevation. Concern is raised in the JRPP report with the loss of landscaped area by providing vehicular access from road No. 3. This area was previously approved as a shared way and therefore is considered appropriate.</li> </ul>	
	1.17 Accompanies this letter are amended plans as they relate to Lot 49 for the panels consideration. Refer to Drawings SK01_170113, SK02_170113, SK03_170113, SK04_170113, SK05_170113, SK06_170113, SK07_170113 dated 17/1/13 prepared by Giles Tribe Architects.	
	<ul> <li>1.18 It is therefore requested that Condition 2b be amended to read:</li> <li>b) The southern upper level building line (exclusive of balcony) of lots 42, 47, 48 and 49 are to be setback 2 metros from the building line (exclusive of balcony) of the level below. The eastern upper level building line of Lot 49 is to be setback 1.5 metros from the building line of the level below. Amended plans shall be submitted to the PCA prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate that incorporate the amended design for Lot 49 as shown on Drawings SK01_170113, SK02_170113, SK03_170113, SK04_170113, SK05_170113, SK06_170113, SK07_170113 dated 17/1/13 prepared by Giles Tribe Architects.</li> </ul>	
Condition 2e	Condition 2e	Not agreed. Modified condition recommended.
e) Front fences can only be used on sites where required by the Building Code of Australia i.e. where the fall is greater than 1	e) Front fences can only be used on sites where required by the Building Code of Australia i.e. where the fall is greater than 1 metre. Where required, the fences are to be setback a minimum of 1 metre from the front boundary and the 1 metre setback is to be landscaped with shrubs with a minimum height of 1 metre. Plans are to be modified to address the above.	In accordance with Part 8.2.1 of the Design Guidelines, front fences not permitted. In this respect the applicant is raising concerns about fences on Lots 31-35, 43-46 and 66-74 for which the proposed condition is not intended to apply.

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
metre. Where required, the fences are to be setback a minimum of 1 metre from the front boundary and the 1 metre setback is to be landscaped with shrubs with a minimum height of 1 metre. Plans are to be modified to address the above.	<ul> <li>1.19 It is requested that this Condition be deleted as the proposed plans provide for front fencing in areas where the private open space is adjacent to the street or reserve. In some instances, lots have dual frontage and private open space has been provided on the street frontage (ie Lots 31-35, 40-41, 43-46, 66-74) where this affords increased solar access and amenity. To maintain privacy and security to the private open space it is requested that Condition 2e be deleted.</li> <li>e) Front fences can only be used on sites where required by the Building Code of Australia i.e. where the fall is greater than 1 metre. Where required, the fences are to be setback a minimum of 1 metre from the front boundary and the 1 metre setback is to be landscaped with shrubs with a minimum height of 1 metre.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In addition, it has been confirmed that fencing is not required for retaining walls in accordance with the Building Code of Australia however it is considered reasonable to allow fences where the fall exceeds 1 metre.</li> <li>In this respect the following amendment to the condition is proposed:</li> <li>e) Front fences can only be used on sites where the fall of the land is greater than 1 metre. In this respect, the fences are to be setback a minimum of 1 metre from the front boundary and the 1 metre setback is to be landscaped with shrubs with a minimum height of 1 metre.</li> <li>The fences along the eastern boundary of Lots 31 to 35 and the western boundaries of Lots 34 to 46 and Lots 66 to 74 are not subject to the above requirements.</li> <li>Plans are to be modified to address the above.</li> </ul>

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
Condition 2f f) The rear setback of the upper level of the dwelling on Lot 54 should be no less than 6 metres.	Condition 2f <ol> <li>The rear setback of the upper level of the dwelling on Lot 54 should be no less than 6 metres.</li> <li>As submitted, the plans propose a rear setback that varies between 5.6 – 6.6 metres. The adjacent dwelling at 21 Windsor Road is setback an additional 9 metres from the common boundary. The position of the dwelling is considered highly appropriate and providing an additional 400mm setback at the northern end will not result in a tangible impact. The windows to Lot 54 will be restricted from overlooking due to the relationship in levels and the 1.8 metre high boundary fence.</li> <li>It is considered that the combination of the relationships in levels, setback between the dwellings (min 14.6 metres) and the position of the boundary fence provide appropriate privacy between the dwellings as shown in the following section.</li> </ol>	Not agreed. As per the assessment report, Lot 54 is non-compliant with the upper level rear setback control by 500mm towards the north of the site which directly adjoins No. 21 Windsor Road. The non- compliance exacerbates the bulk and scale and privacy implications to No. 21 Windsor Road and as such it is considered reasonable to ensure compliance noting also the non-compliance with the FSR controls on this allotment. The increased setback, albeit minimal, of the north/west portion of the upper level will help to articulate the elevation.
	<ul> <li>1.22 It is therefore requested that Condition 2f be deleted.</li> <li>f) The rear setback of the upper level of the dwelling on Lot 54 should be no less than 6 metres.</li> </ul>	

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
Condition 2g g) The stepping stone pavers on Lots 50, 51, 52 and 58 along the northern boundaries between the front entry stairway and the mid-	g) The stepping stone pavers on Lots 50, 51, 52 and 58 along the northern boundaries between the front entry stairway and the mid-point of the front entry landing are to be deleted and replaced         with soft landscaping. This may require the relocation or deletion of the external stairs within the side setback area. Landscaped retained areas can be used, where necessary, in this area to	No objection.
point of the front entry landing are to be deleted and replaced with soft landscaping. This may require the relocation or deletion of the external stairs within the side setback area. Landscaped retained areas can be used,	<ul> <li>address the level changes as has been proposed on Lots 59 and 60.</li> <li>1.23 We raise no objection to the deletion of the stepping stones, however we would not agree to the relocation of the external stairs as this would necessitate access to the side passage for maintenance and rear lawn mowing through the main entry lobby. Access with lawn mowers and garden equipment may damage the tiled step treads and is not an ideal situation. This arrangement was proposed for lots 59 &amp; 60 to overcome other issues and accordingly is not considered necessary for these dwellings.</li> </ul>	
where necessary, in this area to address the level changes as has been proposed on Lots 59 and 60.	<ul> <li>1.24 It is therefore requested that condition 2g be amended to read:</li> <li>g) The stepping stone pavers on Lots 50, 51, 52 and 58 along the northern boundaries between the front entry stairway and the mid-point of the front entry landing are to be deleted and replaced with soft landscaping. This may require the relocation or deletion of the external stairs within the side setback area. Landscaped retained areas can be used, where necessary, in this area to address the level changes as has been proposed on Lots 59 and 60.</li> </ul>	

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
Condition 2h h) The setback of the eastern- most wall (shown as the wall of bedroom 3 on approved architectural plans) on the upper levels of the dwellings on Lots 67 to 70 is to be increased by 1 metre so that it aligns with the eastern wall of the ground level below.	<ul> <li>Condition 2h <ul> <li>h) The setback of the eastern-most wall (shown as the wall of bedroom 3 on approved architectural plans) on the upper levels of the dwellings on Lots 67 to 70 is to be increased by 1 metre so that it aligns with the eastern wall of the ground level below.</li> </ul> </li> <li>1.25 The Design Guidelines for the site require a 7 metre setback to the upper level from the eastern boundary. The upper levels of the dwellings are setback in excess of this requirement between 8.7 to 10 metres from the boundary with Eastern Valley Way.</li> <li>1.26 Compliance with this condition would have a significant impact on the layout of the bedroom 3 resulting that a room size that is well below the high standard provided across the site. The design guidelines require a minimum setback of 1 metre to the level below. The design facilitates an alfresco area on the ground level, the upper level bedrooms are setback between 1 to 3 metres from the eastern edge of the alfresco area. The stepping of the upper level achieves the requirements and the intent of the design guidelines resulting in an appropriate building bulk.</li> <li>1.27 The visibility of these dwellings will be minimal from Eastern Valley Way due to the landscaped interface between.</li> <li>1.28 It is therefore requested that the panel delete condition 2h.</li> <li>h) -The setback of the eastern-wall of the drown as the wall of bedroom 3 on approved architectural plans) on the upper level achieves the advecture between and the increased by 1 metre so that it aligns with the eastern wall of the ground level, below.</li> </ul>	Not agreed. A significant concession has been given to the applicant to allow Accessway 53 and double garaging on these lots both of which are significant departures from the Masterplan and Design Guidelines. This concession was granted on the basis that the bulk and scale of the eastern elevations could be suitably addressed. As per the assessment report, the dwellings on Lots 67 to 73 exceed the 0.8:1 FSR applied on the site and they will appear bulky as viewed from Eastern Valley Way. Even when discounting the floor space in the lower ground level, the FSR is exceeded by between 5 to 18 square metres on Lots 67-70 and Lot 73. The additional bulk of the building on Lots 67 to 70 is presented as a protruding element on the upper floor level which extends beyond the wall of the level below by 1 metre, exacerbating its bulk. As such, the proposed condition recommends that the upper level be setback to be at least in line with the wall of the level below to minimise the visual dominance of the upper level. The increased setback can be readily accommodated with limited impact on Bedroom 3 (contrary to the applicant's advice) by, for example, a reduction in the size or removal of the media area as evident in the floor plan below.

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
Condition 3a	Condition 3a	No objection.
a) The dwellings and associated works on Lots 31, 74 and 75 are to be deleted from plans. In this regard no approval is given for works on these lots.	<ul> <li>(a) The dwellings and associated works on Lots 31, 74 and 75 are to be deleted from plans. In this regard no approval is given for works on these lots.</li> <li>1.29 Since the JRPP report was published, Council notified the JRPP that the gazettal of Draft Willoughby Local Environmental Plan was to occur on 31<sup>st</sup> January 2013. On this basis, following gazettal the Draft LEP, the proposed dwellings on lots 31, 75 and 76 (note the condition refers to lot 74, this should be lot 75) would be permissible and could be approved as part of this development application.</li> </ul>	
	1.30 Consistent with the advice provided to the JRPP by Council's Kate Drinan, it is requested that the matter be considered at the meeting on 24 <sup>th</sup> January 2013, and if the JRPP is minded to approve the development application, it should reconvene after the 31 <sup>st</sup> January 2013 to make its decision.	
	1.31 On this basis of this, it is requested that Condition 3a be deleted.	
	(a) The dwellings and associated works on Lots 31, 74 and 75 are to be deleted from plans. In this regard no approval is given for works on these lots.	
Condition 3b	Condition 3b	No objection.
b) The architectural plans are to be amended to accurately depict the dimensions of the rainwater tanks on the lower ground floor levels, consistent with that of the BlueScope Waterpoint Slimline 3000 litre rainwater tanks as shown on the drainage plans.	<ul> <li>(b) The architectural plans are to be amended to accurately depict the dimensions of the rainwater tanks on the lower ground floor levels, consistent with that of the BlueScope Waterpoint Slimline 3000 litre rainwater tanks as shown on the drainage plans.</li> <li>1.32 It is requested that the condition be amended to allow more flexibility with the provision of services. In some instances, to achieve BCA compliance and achieve sufficient head height, serviceability and access for maintenance an alternate rainwater tank may be required. It is therefore requested that condition 3b be amended to read:</li> <li>(b) The architectural plans are to be amended to accurately depict the dimensions of the rainwater tanks on the lower ground floor levels, generally consistent with that of the BlueScope Waterpoint Slimline 3000 litre rainwater tanks or other tanks that are appropriate for the purpose and can be accommodated within the allocated space as shown on the drainage plans.</li> </ul>	

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
Condition 3d d) Plans are to be amended which shows/notates that any fence which adjoins the public reserves are to be open metal palisade fencing to a maximum height of 1.2 metres above finished ground level.	<ul> <li>Condition 3d <ul> <li>(d) Plans are to be amended which shows/notates that any fence which adjoins the public reserves are to be open metal palisade fencing to a maximum height of 1.2 metres above finished ground level.</li> </ul> </li> <li>1.33 The fencing referred to in the condition is fencing to private open space. In order to achieve safety and security of the open space, it is requested that the condition be amended to enable compliance with the design guidelines which state: <ul> <li>2.2 Fences - to Park frontages &amp; Rear yards facing streets</li> <li>Fences are to be metal palisade, charcoal in colour to a height of 1.2m. Hedge style planting and a level change to adjacent public areas may be used to achieve privacy. Masonry walls below the palisade as necessary for retaining and create a plinth to the fence.</li> </ul> </li> <li>1.34 The design guidelines permit a masonry wall to the base of the fence and a 1.2m high palisade fence above. The design guidelines allow for improved visual interest and security. In this regard, it is requested that condition 3d be amended to read: <ul> <li>(d) Plans are to be amended which shows/notates that any fence which adjoins the public reserves are to be open-metal-palisade fencing to a maximum height of 1.2 metres above finished ground level, provided in accordance with the Design Guidelines approved for the site.</li> </ul></li></ul>	<ul> <li>Not agreed. Modified condition recommended.</li> <li>In accordance with Part 8.2.4 of the Design Guidelines, where fences are located in close proximity to retaining walls, the maximum height is measured from the top of the retaining wall. Further, as per Part 8.2.2 the intent is that hedge style planting and level change be used to achieve privacy.</li> <li>A clarification of Condition 3d is proposed.</li> <li>d) Plans are to be amended which shows/notates that any fence which adjoins the public reserves are to be open metal palisade fencing to a maximum height of 1.2 metres above finished ground level (as measured from the top of the retained area).</li> </ul>
Condition 3e e) The rainwater tanks proposed on Lots 40 and 41 are to be relocated to the side setback area between the southern elevation and the southern boundary or be located within the building footprint.	<ul> <li>Condition 3e <ul> <li>(e) The rainwater tanks proposed on Lots 40 and 41 are to be relocated to the side setback area between the southern elevation and the southern boundary or be located within the building footprint.</li> </ul> </li> <li>1.35 The location of the rainwater tanks is consistent with those approved in both Stages 1 &amp; 2 of the development site. The rainwater tanks are over 700mm wide and therefore is it not practical to relocate the tanks to a 900mm wide passage as this would restrict egress.</li> <li>1.36 As an alternative, it is requested that the condition be amended to ensure the height of the water tank is a maximum of 1.8 metres to ensure it is not visible from the neighbouring property. In addition, a trellis can be provided above the fence with climbing plants to create landscape screening to the neighbouring property.</li> <li>1.37 It is therefore requested that Condition 3e be amended to read: <ul> <li>(e) The rainwater tanks proposed on Lots 40 and 41 are to be a maximum of 1.8 metres in height to ensure they are not visible above the boundary fence. relocated within the building footprint. The landscape plan shall be amended to incorporate a trellis with climbing plants on the western boundary fence adjacent to the rainwater tanks.</li> </ul></li></ul>	Not agreed. The recommended relocation of the rainwater tanks to the southern side setback is not within an egress path. The condition does allow scope to relocate within the building footprint if needed. There is very limited private open space on these lots and the use of the area for utilities is not acceptable. Further its location leaves limited ability for landscape screening in the area to both limit privacy impacts and conceal bulk. The conditions on Lot 40 and 41 are very different to other allotments within Stages 1 and 2 as mentioned in point 1.35 of the applicants noting the very limited private open space area and the separation between existing residences. As such the same principles cannot be applied on these sites.

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
Condition 3f f) The clothes drying area on Lot 40 is to be relocated to the southern boundary fence in the rear yard and setback at least 1 metre from the western boundary to ensure the provision of sufficient landscape screening for No. 54 Mowbray Place.	<ul> <li>Condition 3f </li> <li>(f) The clothes drying area on Lot 40 is to be relocated to the southern boundary fence in the rear yard and setback at least 1 metre from the western boundary to ensure the provision of sufficient landscape screening for No. 54 Mowbray Place.</li> <li>1.38 It is requested that this condition be deleted and the clothes drying area remain in its proposed position as the functionality of the drying area would be compromised by locating it adjacent to a large Crepe Myrtle which is required in the south western corner by Condition 3g. With the relocation of the water tank, there will be sufficient opportunity for landscape screening along the common boundary with 54 Mowbray Place.</li> <li>1.39 It is therefore requested that condition 3f be deleted.</li> <li>(f) The clothes drying area on Lot 40 is to be relocated to the southern boundary fence in the rear yard and setback at least 1 metre from the western boundary to ensure the provision of sufficient landscape screening for No. 54 Mowbray Place.</li> </ul>	Not agreed. As per the shadow diagrams provided, the relocation of the clothes drying area to the southern boundary will allow for increased sunlight as compared to the applicants proposed location. Further it increases the ability for landscape screening for increased privacy and to conceal bulk. The location of the Crepe Myrtle to the south-west is not considered to significantly overshadow the drying area noting the northern orientation of the sun. It is noted that similar arrangements have been proposed by the applicant on other sites including Lots 43 to 46.
Condition 3j j) The private pathways of Lots 43 to 46 located within the Windsor Road public reserve are to be deleted.	<ul> <li>Condition 3j <ul> <li>(i) The private pathways of Lots 43 to 46 located within the Windsor Road public reserve are to be deleted.</li> </ul> </li> <li>1.40 The design of the lots enables activation and passive surveillance of the adjacent public open space. The deletion of the pedestrian paths which access the individual dwellings will reduce the activation of the space. The deletion of the paths will lead to informal access to the dwellings which is likely to disturb the landscaping of the reserve.</li> <li>1.41 As access is provided via front gates to the dwellings, it is considered highly appropriate that some form of access to the gates be provided to restrict the creating of informal dirt tracks.</li> <li>1.42 In our opinion the access is important to activate the park and the provision of pedestrian access to the reserve, however will provide access to the front gates. In addition, it is noted that this area if the site currently forms part of the development site and has not been dedicated to Council.</li> <li>1.43 Condition 3j should be amended to read:     <ul> <li>(i) The private pathways of Lots 43 to 46 located within the Windsor Road public reserve are to be deleted and replaced with stepping stones.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Not agreed. The Windsor Road Public Reserve will be the responsibility of Council and will be public land. Council does not wish to have the liability of the private paths or stepping stones or wish to diminish the usability of the park land in anyway.

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
Condition 3k k) The eastern boundary of Accessway No. 53 between the dwellings on Lots 66 to 74 and Eastern Valley Way is to be fenced with an open palisade style fencing to a maximum height of 1.2 metres to provide delineation between public and private land and promote safety.	<ul> <li>Condition 3k <ul> <li>(k) The eastern boundary of Accessway No. 53 between the dwellings on Lots 66 to 74 and Eastern Valley Way is to be fenced with an open palisade style fencing to a maximum height of 1.2 metres to provide delineation between public and private land and promote safety.</li> </ul> </li> <li>1.44 Consistent with Condition 3d it is requested that the condition be amended to enable fencing that will maintain safety and security to the private open space.</li> <li>1.45 It is therefore requested that Condition 3k be amended to read: <ul> <li>(k) The eastern boundary of Accessway No. 53 between the dwellings on Lots 66 to 74 and Eastern Valley Way is to be fenced with an open palisade style fencing to a maximum height of 1.2 metres to provide delineation between public and private land and promote safety.</li> </ul></li></ul>	No objection.
<b>Condition 5</b> The applicant should consult with Energy Australia to determine the need and location of any electrical enclosure for the development. Should such an electrical enclosure be required, the location and dimensions of the structure are to be detailed on all the plans issued with the Construction Certificate. In the event of Energy Australia requiring such a structure eg. a substation, the applicant is required to dedicate the land for the substation as public roadway. The Plan of Dedication shall be lodged to Council prior to issue of the Construction Certificate and registered at the Department of Lands prior to issue of the Occupation Certificate. (Reason: Compliance)	Condition 5 – Services – Energy Australia The applicant should consult with Energy Australia to determine the need and location of any electrical enclosure for the development. Should such an electrical enclosure be required, the location and dimensions of the structure are to be detailed on all the plans issued with the Construction Certificate. In the event of Energy Australia requiring such a structure eg. a substation, the applicant is required to decirate the land for the substation as public roadway. The Plan of Deciration shall be lodged to Council prior to issue of the Construction Certificate and registered at the Department of Lands prior to issue of the Occupation Certificate. (Reason: Compliance) 1.46 To enable the development to proceed in a timely manner, it is requested that the condition be amended to require the Plan of Dedication prior to issue of the Occupation Certificate. Condition 5 should be amended to read: The applicant should consult with Energy Australia to determine the need and location of any electrical enclosure for the development. Should such an electrical enclosure be required, the location and dimensions of the structure are to be detailed on all the plans issued with the Construction Certificate. In the event of Energy Australia requiring such a structure eg. a substation and belogad to council prior to issue of the Cocupation Certificate. In the event of Energy Australia requiring such a structure eg. a substation, shall be lodged to council prior to issue of the Cocupation Certificate and registered at the Department of Lands prior to issue of the Cocupation Certificate. In the event of Energy Australia requiring such a structure eg. a substation shall be lodged to council prior to issue of the Cocupation Certificate end registered at the Department of Lands prior to issue of the Occupation Certificate end registered at the Department of Lands prior to issue of the Occupation Certificate. (Reason: Compliance)	No objection.

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
Condition 16 The applicant shall lodge a Damage Deposit of \$285,000 (GST Exempt) as cash, cheque or an unconditional bank guarantee, to Council against possible damage to Council's asset during the course of the building works. The deposit will be refundable subject to inspection by Council after the issue of a final Occupation Certificate for all dwellings and the completion of all civil works relating to the proposed	<ul> <li>Condition 16 – Damage Deposit</li> <li>The applicant shall lodge a Damage Deposit of \$285,000 (GST Exempt) as cash, cheque or an unconditional bank guarantee, to Council against possible damage to Council's asset during the course of the building works. The deposit will be refundable subject to inspection by Council after the issue of a final Occupation Certificate for all dwellings and the completion of all civil works relating to the proposed development. For the purpose of inspections carried out by Council Engineers, an inspection fee of \$980 (GST inclusive) is payable to Council. Any damages identified by Council shall be restored by the applicant prior to release of the Damage Deposit. (Reason: Protection of public asset)</li> <li>1.47 Condition 16 requires a substantial damage deposit which we consider to be excessive. The method of calculating the figure is unclear and at the time of preparing this submission advice in this regard was being sought from Council. The Council are already holding damage deposits for the entire Willoughby Market Gardens site of over \$260,000.</li> <li>1.48 Furthermore, Condition 119 requires the applicant to restore all damage to Council's assets prior to issue of the Subdivision Certificate.</li> </ul>	Councils Response Not agreed. Modified condition recommended. The damage deposit can be reduced to \$70,000. The damage deposit is held for potential damage to existing infrastructure i.e. the road pavement of Mowbray Place. The damage deposit is worked out on the basis of 25% of the area of the pavement x square metre restoration fee prescribed in Council's Fees and Charges. It is worked out on the basis of 25% because the developer is not the sole user of the existing infrastructure.
development. For the purpose of inspections carried out by Council Engineers, an inspection fee of \$980 (GST inclusive) is payable to Council. Any damages identified by Council shall be restored by the applicant prior to release of the Damage Deposit. (Reason: Protection of public asset)	1.49 If deemed appropriate that an additional damage deposit be required, we would ask that a more reasonable figure in the order of \$50,000 be required. It is therefore requested that Condition 16 be amended generally as follows: The applicant shall lodge a Damage Deposit of \$285,000 \$50,000 (GST Exempt) as cash, cheque or an unconditional bank guarantee, to Council against possible damage to Council's asset during the course of the building works. The deposit will be refundable subject to inspection by Council after the issue of a final Occupation Certificate for all dwellings and the completion of all civil works relating to the proposed development. For the purpose of inspections carried out by Council Engineers, an inspection fee of \$980 (GST inclusive) is payable to Council. Any damages identified by Council shall be restored by the applicant prior to release of the Damage Deposit. (Reason: Protection of public asset)	
Condition 26	Condition 26 – Certificate Issued by Willoughby Council	Not agreed. See comments regarding condition 2 above.
Prior to issue of any Construction Certificate, the applicant shall submit, for approval by the Accredited Certifier, a letter issued by Willoughby City Council confirming that the documentation listed in Section 2 of this consent has been approved by Council. (Reason: Ensure compliance)	<ul> <li>Prior to issue of any Construction Certificate, the applicant shall submit, for approval by the Accredited Certifier, a letter issued by Willoughby City Council confirming that the documentation listed in Section 2 of this consent has been approved by Council. (Reason: Ensure compliance)</li> <li>1.50 As requested above, the requirements of condition 2 are specific and can be approved by the PCA. It is therefore requested that Condition 26 be deleted.</li> <li>Prior to issue of any Construction Certificate, the applicant shall submit, for approval by the Accredited Certifier, a letter issued by Willoughby City Council confirming that the documentation listed in Section 2 of this consent has been approved by Council. (Reason: Ensure compliance)</li> </ul>	

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
Condition 27	Condition 27 – Design of Works in Public Road in front of Lot 47 & 48	Not agreed.
<ul> <li>Prior to issue of any Construction Certificate, the Applicant shall submit, for approval by Council as a road authority, full design engineering plans and specifications prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced civil engineer for the following:-</li> <li>a. An Autoturn simulation must be provided on the driveway layout for Lot43 to 46 demonstrating that there are sufficient turning areas for MR vehicles to ingress and egress from Road No.3 to the right –of-carriageway.</li> <li>b. In light of point a above, the layout of the new kerb and gutter alignment with all required dimensions and radius in front of lot 47 and 48 shall be provided.</li> <li>The required plans shall be designed in accordance with Austroad, Council's specifications (AUS-SPEC) and AS2890.1.</li> <li>Approval must be obtained from Willoughby City Council as the road authority under the Roads Act 1993 prior to issue of any Construction Certificate. (Reason: Ensure compliance)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Prior to issue of any Construction Certificate, the Applicant shall submit, for approval by Council as a rayerienced civil engineer for the following:</li> <li>a. An Autoturn simulation must be provided on the driveway layout for Lot43 to 46 demonstrating that there are sufficient turning areas for MR vehicles to ingress and egress from Road No.3 to the right–of-carriageway.</li> <li>b. In light of point a above, the layout of the new kerb and gutter alignment with all required dimensions and radius in front of lot 47 and 48 shall be provided.</li> <li>The required plans shall be designed in accordance with Austroad, Council's specifications (AUS-SPEC) and AS2890.1. Approval must be obtained from Willoughby City Council as the road authority under the Roads Act 1993 prior to issue of any Construction Certificate. (Reason: Ensure compliance)</li> <li>1.51 We are unclear as to why this condition has been included. The JRPP report notes on page 11 that this has been addressed by the sketch provided titled 'Proposed Drive/kerb modification'. It is therefore requested that Condition 27 be amended to refer to that sketch.</li> <li>Prior to issue of any Construction Certificate, the Applicant shall submit, for approval by Council as a reprincence drivil engineer for the following in accordance with amended sketch titled 'Proposed Drive/kerb modification'. It is therefore requested that Condition 72 be amended to refer to that sketch.</li> <li>An Autoturm-simulation-must be provided on the driveway-layout-for-Let43-to-46 demonstrating that there are sufficient turning areas for MR vehicles to ingress and egress from Road No.3 to the right–of-carriageway.</li> <li>b. Inlight of point a above, the layout of the new kerb and gutter alignment with all required dimensions and radius in front of lot 47 and 48 shall be provided.</li> <li>The required plans shall be designed in accordance with Austroad, Council's specifications (AUS-SPEC) and AS2800.1. Approval must be obtained from Willoughby City Council as the road</li></ul>	No dimensions have been provided on the sketch plans and no details are provided as to who drafted the sketches. Manoeuvrability needs to be confirmed on properly drafted and dimensioned plans.

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
Condition 31	Condition 31 – Soil and Water Management Plan	Not agreed.
A Soil and Water Management Plan (SWMP) shall be prepared and submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority (PCA), for consideration. The PCA must approve the SWMP, prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate. The SWMP shall incorporate but not be limited to the following: 1) An Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP) with the following information presented on the drawing as a minimum; a) Site boundaries, adjoining roads and grades with an indication of fall direction, b) Location of trees, and all vegetation showing items for removal or retention, c) Location of site access, proposed roads and other impervious areas, d) Existing and proposed drainage patterns together with stormwater discharge points. 2) The SWMP shall explain how the various soil conservation measures will be carried out and shall include as a minimum the following: a) Timing of works, b) Locations of lands where a protective ground cover will be maintained, c) Access protection measures, d) Stormwater discharge water quality targets (to include water treatment measures if necessary	<ul> <li>A Soil and Water Management Plan (SWMP) shall be prepared and submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority (PCA), for consideration. The PCA must approve the SWMP, prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate. The SWM Phall incorporate but not be limited to the following:</li> <li>1) An Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP) with the following information presented on the drawing as a minimum;</li> <li>a) Site boundaries, adjoining roads and grades with an indication of fall direction.</li> <li>b) Location of trees, and all vegetation showing items for removal or retention, c) Location of site access, proposed roads and other impervious areas.</li> <li>d) Existing and proposed drainage patterns together with stormwater discharge points.</li> <li>a) The SWMP shall explain how the various soil conservation measures will be carried out and shall include as a minimum the following:</li> <li>a) Timing of works.</li> <li>b) Locations of lands where a protective ground cover will be maintained, c) Access protection measures,</li> <li>d) Stormwater discharge water quality targets (to include water treatment measures) in necessary and water testing program), e) Nature and extent of earthworks,</li> <li>f) Diversion of runoff from upslope land around the disturbed area (designed to carry the peak 10 year ARI catchment runoff),</li> <li>g) Location of all soil and other material stockpiles,</li> <li>h) Location of all soil and other material stockpiles,</li> <li>h) Location of all soil and other material stockpiles,</li> <li>i) Location and type of proposed drosion and sediment control measures,</li> <li>i) Engineening details with supporting design eclautions for sediment basins and other such controls (design storm event as the 10 year ARI time of connentation of storm wetlet,</li> <li>i) Frequency and nature of any maintenance/inspection program,</li> <li>k) Cutline the reporting and reviewing requirements of Managing Urban Stormwater - Soils and Construction Volume 1 published by Landcom 2004' comm</li></ul>	The condition does not provide any additional onerous requirements and has been written to achieve compliance with standard requirements of the 'Blue Book'. Due to the past history at the site in regards to numerous issues with sediment and erosion controls, the condition is written to include what is required to be undertaken so that compliance with the 'Blue Book' can be achieved.

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
<ul> <li>and water testing program),</li> <li>e) Nature and extent of earthworks,</li> <li>f) Diversion of runoff from upslope land around the disturbed area (designed to carry the peak 10 year ARI catchment runoff),</li> <li>g) Location of all soil and other material stockpiles,</li> <li>h) Location and type of proposed erosion and sediment control measures,</li> <li>i) Engineering details with supporting design calculations for sediment basins and other such controls (design storm event as the 10 year ARI time of concentration of storm event),</li> <li>j) Frequency and nature of any maintenance/inspection program,</li> <li>k) Outline the reporting and reviewing requirements (to include incident management procedure),</li> <li>l) Site rehabilitation.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1.54 To facilitate works on site in a clear manner consistent with industry standard, it is requested that Condition 31 be amended to read:</li> <li>A Soil and Water Management Plan (SWMP) shall be prepared and submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority (PCA), for consideration. The PCA must approve the SWMP, prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate. The SWMP shall incorporate but not be limited to the following: <ol> <li>An Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP) with the following information presented on the drawing as a minimum;</li> <li>Site boundaries, adjoining roads and grades with an indication of fall direction;</li> <li>Location of trees, and all vegetation showing items for removal or retention, c) – Location of site access, proposed roads and other impervious areas;</li> <li>Existing and proposed drainage patterns together with stormwater discharge points:</li> <li>The SWMP shall explain how the various soil conservation measures will be carried out and shall include as a minimum the following:</li> <li>Timing of works;</li> <li>Locations of lands where a protective ground cover will be maintained;</li> <li>Access protection measures;</li> <li>Stommater discharge water quality targets (to include water treatment measures if necessary and water testing program), e) – Nature and extent of earthworks;</li> <li>Diversion of and they of proposed crosion and sediment control measures;</li> <li>Location and type of proposed crosion and sediment control measures;</li> <li>Location and hype of proposed crosion and sediment control measures;</li> <li>Engineering details with supporting design calculations for sediment basins and other such controls (design atom event as the 10 year ARI calchment runoff);</li> <li>Location and and other material stockpiles;</li> <li>Location and and other material stockpiles;</li> <li>Location and and other active and sediment control measures;</li> <li>Engineering details with supporting design calculations for sediment basins and other such controls</li></ol></li></ul>	
The ESCP and SWMP shall meet the requirements of 'Managing Urban Stormwater – Soils and Construction Volume 1 published by Landcom 2004' commonly known as the 'Blue Book'. Certification prepared by a qualified and practising engineer, experienced in soil and water management and registered with the National Engineering Registration Board, that the ESCP and SWMP meets these requirements shall be submitted	The ESCP and SWMP shall meet the requirements of 'Managing Urban Stormwater – Soils and Construction Volume 1 published by Landcom 2004' commonly known as the 'Blue Book'. Certification prepared by a qualified and practising engineer, experienced in soil and water management and registered with the National Engineering Registration Board, that the ESCP and SWMP meets these requirements shall be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority, prior to issue of the Construction Certificate. (Reason: Environmental Protection)	

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
to the Principal Certifying Authority, prior to issue of the Construction Certificate. (Reason: Environmental Protection)		
Condition 50	Condition 50 – Hours of Work	Not agreed.
All construction/demolition work relating to this Development Consent within the City must be carried out only between the hours of 7 am to 5 pm Mondays to Fridays and 7 am to 12 noon on Saturdays. No work is permitted on Sundays or Public Holidays. An application under Section 96 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act for a variation to these approved hours must be lodged with Council at least 3 working days in advance of the proposed work. The application must include a statement regarding the reasons for the variation sought and must be accompanied by the required fee. Note: This S96 application may require re-notification in some circumstances. (Reason: Ensure compliance and	All construction/demolition work relating to this Development Consent within the City must be carried out only between the hours of 7 am to 5 pm Mondays to Fridays and 7 am to 12 noon on Saturdays. No work is permitted on Sundays or Public Holidays. An application under Section 96 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act for a variation to	The standard hours of operation are a standard policy of Council. They can be varied on a case by case basis with a section 96 application. In order to modify the hours of operation, the proposed modification would need to be notified to allow for public comment.

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
	these approved hours must be lodged with Council at least 3 working days in advance of the proposed work. The application must include a statement regarding the reasons for the variation sought and must be accompanied by the required fee.	
	Note: This S96 application <u>may</u> require re-notification in some circumstances. (Reason: Ensure compliance and amenity)	
	<ul> <li>1.55 Currently the condition restricts the construction hours during the week to 7am to 5pm and on a Saturday from 7am to 12noon. Consideration is requested to allowing an extension of these hours to permit construction activities: <ul> <li>7am to 6pm – Monday to Friday</li> <li>7am to 3pm – Saturdays.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	1.56 This increase will have a number of potential benefits to the project and neighbouring properties.	
	1.57 By allowing an additional 4 hours of construction time will reduce the construction timetable, this is a direct benefit to the community, as it is appreciated that it can at times be noisy and disruptive living near a construction site. Allowing the flow of construction through the 6 days without having to change tasks on a Saturday due to the reduced hours will provide a more efficient construction timetable.	
	1.58 It is in the public's interest to have a reduced construction timetable by allowing additional construction hours outside of key 'quiet times' such as mornings and early evenings.	
	1.59 Furthermore, it is now widely accepted that people work on a Saturday, it is common practise in almost all trades and people are often engaged in other activities such as sport, shopping and socialising.	
	1.60 For comparison it is noted that Botany and Manly Council's allow Monday to Friday construction hours from 7am to 6pm, consistent with this request.	
	1.61 Allowing a minor increase in hours will allow the orderly and economic development of the site as considered by Section 79C of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979.	
	1.62 It is therefore requested that Condition 50 be amended to read:	
	All construction/demolition work relating to this Development Consent within the City must be carried out only between the hours of 7 am to $5-6$ pm Mondays to Fridays and 7 am to $\frac{12 \text{ noon } 3pm}{2 \text{ noon } 3pm}$ on Saturdays. No work is permitted on Sundays or Public Holidays.	
	An application under Section 96 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act for a variation to these approved hours must be lodged with Council at least 3 working days in advance of the proposed work. The application must include a statement regarding the reasons for the variation sought and must be accompanied by the required fee.	
	Note: This S96 application <u>may</u> require re-notification in some circumstances. (Reason: Ensure compliance and amenity)	

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
Condition 64 New stormwater connection outlets at street kerb shall be made using 125x75x4 galvanised Rectangular Hollow Section (RHS). Where there are multiple outlets required, a minimum distance of 100mm shall separate these outlets. A grated drainage pit (min. 600mm x 600mm) shall be provided within and adjacent to the property boundary prior to discharging to the Council's drainage system. (Reason: Protection of Public Asset)	<ul> <li>Condition 64 – Stormwater Kerb outlet         New stormwater connection outlets at street kerb shall be made using 125x75x4 galvanised         Rectangular Hollow Section (RHS). Where there are multiple outlets required, a minimum distance         of 100mm shall separate these outlets. A grated drainage pit (min. 600mm x 600mm) shall be         provided within and adjacent to the property boundary prior to discharging to the Council's         drainage system. (Reason: Protection of Public Asset)     </li> <li>1.63 There are some circumstances where the drainage outlet pipe will be outside of the line of the         property boundary. To allow for these special circumstances, it is requested that the condition be         amended to read:         New stormwater connection outlets at street kerb shall be made using 125x75x4 galvanised         Rectangular Hollow Section (RHS). Where there are multiple outlets required, a minimum distance         of 100mm shall separate these outlets. A grated drainage pit (min. 600mm x 600mm) shall be         provided within and or adjacent to the property boundary prior to discharging to the Council's         drainage system. (Reason: Protection of Public Asset)     </li> </ul>	Not agreed. The condition is worded to ensure that the pit is located within private land. The applicant's amended wording would allow the pit on Council land which would then become Council's responsibility.

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
Condition 66	Condition 66 – Soil and Water Management Controls – Inspections	Not agreed.
During site works, the person responsible for onsite soil and water management shall undertake regular inspections and keep records regarding maintenance of measures detailed in the approved Soil and Water Management Plan (SWMP). The inspections and records shall meet the following: 1. Inspections undertaken after each rain event, 2. Information recorded shall include: a. Weather measurements including rainfall, temperature and wind, b. Condition of controls as documented in the approved SWMP including, i. Condition of sedimentation control devices, ii. Condition of sedimentation basins including water level, water quality, any activities relating to water treatment, water quality sampling results and the release of water.	<ul> <li>During site works, the person responsible for on site soil and water management shall undertake regular inspections and keep records regarding maintenance of measures detailed in the approved Soil and Water Management Plan (SWMP). The inspections and records shall meet the following:         <ol> <li>Information recorded shall include:</li></ol></li></ul>	Not agreed. The condition does not provide any additional onerous requirements and has been written to achieve compliance with standard requirements of the 'Blue Book'. Due to the past history at the site in regards to numerous issues with sediment and erosion controls, the condition is written to include what is required to be undertaken so that compliance with the 'Blue Book' can be achieved.
Where site conditions have changed due to weather or need to change due to progression of	<li>Condition of sedimentation basins including water level, water quality; any activities relating to water treatment, water quality sampling results and the release of water.</li>	
site works, a new Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP) shall be prepared and submitted to the Principal Certifying	Where site conditions have changed due to weather or need to change due to progression of site works, a new Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP) shall be prepared and submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority (PCA), for consideration. The ESCP shall be approved by the PCA and implemented prior to the carrying out of the new site works.	
Authority (PCA), for consideration. The ESCP shall be approved by the PCA and	The recorded data shall be made available to Council upon request. (Reason: Environmental Protection)	

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
implemented prior to the carrying out of the new site works.		
The recorded data shall be made available to Council upon request. (Reason: Environmental Protection)		
Condition 67	Condition 67 – Soil and Water Management Controls – Certification	Not agreed.
During site works, an inspection of the site shall be conducted every 60 days by a qualified and practising engineer, experienced in soil and water management and registered with the National Engineering Registration Board. As a result of this inspection, the engineer shall certify that all soil and Water Management Controls conform with the approved Soil and Water Management Plan (SWMP), Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP) and the requirements of 'Managing Urban Stormwater – Soils and Construction Volume 1 published by Landcom 2004' commonly known as the 'Blue Book'. (Reason: Environmental Protection)	<ul> <li>During site works, an inspection of the site shall be conducted every 60 days by a qualified and practising engineer, experienced in soil and water management and registered with the National Engineering Registration Board. As a result of this inspection, the engineer shall certify that all soil and Water Management Controls conform with the approved Soil and Water Management Plan (SWMP), Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP) and the requirements of 'Managing Urban Stormwater – Soils and Construction Volume 1 published by Landcom 2004' commonly known as the 'Blue Book'. (Reason: Environmental Protection)</li> <li>1.65 As per condition 31 and 66, the condition should be amended to require compliance with the requirements of the 'Blue Book'. Condition 67 should be amended to read:</li> <li>During site works, an inspection of the site shall be conducted in accordance with the requirements of 'Managing Urban Stormwater – Soils and Construction Volume 1 published by Landcom 2004' commonly known as the 'Blue Book'. every 60 days by a qualified and practising engineer; experienced in soil and water management and registered with the National Engineering Registration Board. As a result of this inspection, the engineer shall certify that all soil and Water Management Control Plan (ESCP) and the requirements of 'Managing Urban Stormwater – Soils and Construction Volume 1 published by Landcom 2004' commonly known as the 'Blue Book'. (Reason: Environmental Protection)</li> </ul>	As per condition 66 above.

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
Condition 68	Condition 68 – Cessation of Site works	Not agreed.
During site works, if any works or rain events cause a water pollution incident as defined under the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997, all site works shall cease immediately and the person responsible for soil and water management shall immediately inform Council by phone 9777 7624 and in writing. The breach shall be addressed and the affected area rehabilitated, as directed by Council, prior to the continuation of any further site works. (Reason: Environmental Protection)	<ul> <li>During site works, if any works or rain events cause a water pollution incident as defined under the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997, all site works shall cease immediately and the person responsible for soil and water management shall immediately inform Council by phone 9777 7624 and in writing. The breach shall be addressed and the affected area rehabilitated, as directed by Council, prior to the continuation of any further site works. (Reason: Environmental Protection)</li> <li>1.66 In principle we have no objection to this condition and compliance with the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997. However, there are a number of concerns with the wording of this condition and is considered more appropriate that the wording of the condition reinforce the obligations under the Act.</li> <li>1.67 It is therefore requested that the condition be amended to read:</li> <li>During site works, if any works or rain events cause a water pollution incident as defined under the Protection of the Environment Operations Act 1997, the responsible person shall comply with their obligations under the Act. (Reason: Environment Operations Act 1997, the responsible person shall comply with their obligations under the Act. (Reason: Environment Operations Act 1997, the responsible person shall comply with their obligations under the Act.</li> </ul>	As per condition 66 above.

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
Condition 88	Condition 88 – S88B Instrument	No objection.
Create Positive Covenant and Restriction on the Use of Land on the Title in favour of Council as the benefiting authority for the as- built rainwater retention and reuse system. The standard wording of the terms of the Positive Covenant and Restriction on the Use of Land are available in Council's Technical Standards. The above instruments shall be created under Section 88B of the Conveyancing Act 1919 for newly created lots. The relative location of the rainwater retention and reuse system, in relation to the building footprint, must be shown on the final plan of subdivision/strata plan. The S88B instrument shall be lodged with Council's Standard S88B/S88E Lodgement Form with all supporting documentations listed in the Form. Council's Standard Form is available from Council upon requested. Documentary evidence of registration of these instruments with the Land and Property Information shall be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority and Council prior to issue of any Occupation Certificate. (Reason: Maintenance requirement)	Create Positive Covenant and Restriction on the Use of Land on the Title in favour of Council as the benefiting authority for the as-built rainwater retention and reuse system. The standard wording of the terms of the Positive Covenant and Restriction on the Use of Land are available in Council's Technical Standards. The above instruments shall be created under Section 88B of the Conveyancing Act 1919 for newly created lots. The relative location of the rainwater retention and reuse system, in relation to the building footprint, must be shown on the final plan of subdivision/strata plan. The S8BB instrument shall be lodged with Council's Standard S8BE/S8BE Lodgement Form with all supporting documentations listed in the Form. Council's Standard Form is available from Council upon requested. Documentary evidence of registration of these instruments with the Land and Properly Information shall be submitted to the Principal Certifying Authority and Council prior to issue of any Occupation Certificate. (Reason: Maintenance requirement) 1.18 To allow for the issue of Interim Occupation Certificates it is requested that this condition be amended to reflect this. Condition 88 should be amended to read: Create Positive Covenant and Restriction on the Use of Land on the Title in favour of Council as the benefiting authority for the as-built iminwater retention and reuse system. The standard wording of the terms of the Positive Covenant and Restriction on the Use of Land are available in Council's Technical Standards. The above instruments shall be created under Section 88B of the Conveyancing Act 1919 for newly created lots. The relative location of the rainwater retention and reuse system. In relation to be building todprint, must be shown on the final plan of subdivision/strate plan. The S8BB instrument shall be lodged with Council's Standard Form is available from Council's Standards. Documentary evidence of registration of these instruments with the Land and Properly Information shall be ubmitted to the Principal Cer	

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
<b>Condition 101</b> The linen plans/subdivision certificates for Stage 3 are not to be released prior to the laying of the slabs and the erection of the framework and roofs of all the dwellings within Stage 3, being within Superlots 5 and 6. (Reason: Ensure compliance)	<ul> <li>Condition 101 – Subdivision – Substantial commencement of dwellings – Stage 3</li> <li>The linen plans/subdivision certificates for Stage 3 are not to be released prior to the laying of the slabs and the erection of the framework and roofs of all the dwellings within Stage 3, being within Superlots 5 and 6. (Reason: Ensure compliance)</li> <li>1.69 This condition relates to the creation of the allotments and therefore it is unreasonable to restrict the creation of these allotments prior to completion of the dwellings. The creation of the allotments provides clearer identification of the allotments within the superlots for contract administration. It is therefore requested that Condition 101 be deleted.</li> <li>The linen plans/subdivision certificates for Stage 3 are not to be released prior to the laying of the slabs and the erection of the framework and roofs of all the dwellings within Stage 3, being within Superlots 5 and 6. (Reason: Ensure compliance)</li> </ul>	Not agreed. This condition is required as the site is a Masterplan site where the applicant has certain responsibilities to construct in a manner consistent with the Masterplan. As such, Council does not want a situation whereby the applicant can sell off individual parcels of land before the houses are substantially commenced and an alternative design constructed. Further, it is to be noted that the condition does not restrict the creation of the allotments prior to the completion of the dwellings as stated in the applicant's submission.
<b>Condition 102</b> The linen plans/subdivision certificates for Stage 4 are not to be released prior to the laying of the slabs and the erection of the framework and roofs of all the dwellings within Stage 4, being within Superlots 7, 8 and 9. (Reason: Ensure compliance)	<ul> <li>Condition 102 – Subdivision – Substantial commencement of dwellings – Stage 4</li> <li>The linen plans/subdivision certificates for Stage 4 are not to be released prior to the laying of the slabs and the erection of the framework and roofs of all the dwellings within Stage 4, being within Superlots 7, 8 and 9. (Reason: Ensure compliance)</li> <li>1.70 As per condition 101, this condition relates to the creation of the allotments and therefore it is unreasonable to restrict the creation of these allotments prior to completion of the dwellings. The creation of the allotments provides clearer identification of the allotments within the superlots for contract administration. It is therefore requested that Condition 102 be deleted.</li> <li>The linen plans/subdivision certificates for Stage 4 are not to be released prior to the laying of the slabs and the erection of the framework and roofs of all the dwellings within Stage 4, being within Superlots 7, 8 and 9. (Reason: Ensure compliance)</li> </ul>	Not agreed. This condition is required as the site is a Masterplan site where the applicant has certain responsibilities to construct in a manner consistent with the Masterplan. As such, Council does not want a situation whereby the applicant can sell off individual parcels of land before the houses are substantially commenced and an alternative design constructed. Further, it is to be noted that the condition does not restrict the creation of the allotments prior to the completion of the dwellings as stated in the applicant's submission.

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
Condition 120	Condition 120 – Performance Bond	No objection.
Prior to issue of any Occupation Certificate or the issue of a Subdivision Certificate for Stages 3 or 4, the Applicant shall lodge with the Council a performance bond of \$140,000 against defective public civil works undertaken by the main Contractor for a period of twelve (12) months from the date of the issue of all occupation certificates for all dwellings. The bond shall be lodged in the form of a cash deposit, cheque or unconditional bank guarantee which will be refundable subject to the approval of Council's Engineers at the end of the maintenance period. In this period, the Applicant is liable for any part of the work which fails to achieve the design specifications. Council shall be given full authority to make use of the bond for such restoration works within the maintenance period as deemed necessary. (Reason: Ensure compliance and specification)	<ul> <li>Prior to issue of any Occupation Certificate or the issue of a Subdivision Certificate for Stages 3 or 4, the Applicant shall lodge with the Council a performance bond of \$140,000 against defective public civil works undertaken by the main Contractor for a period of twelve (12) months from the date of the issue of all occupation certificates for all dwellings. The bond shall be lodged in the form of a cash deposit, cheque or unconditional bank guarantee which will be refundable subject to the approval of Council's Engineers at the end of the maintenance period. In this period, the Applicant is liable for any part of the work which fails to achieve the design specifications. Council shall be given full authority to make use of the bond for such restoration works within the maintenance period as deemed necessary.</li> <li>(Reason: Ensure compliance and specification)</li> <li>1.71 Condition 120 requires a substantial performance bond which we consider to be excessive. The method of calculating the figure is unclear and at the time of preparing this submission advice in this regard was being sought from Council. Under the previous consents, Stage 1 &amp; Stage 2, the performance bond was \$10,000 and \$40,000 respectively.</li> <li>1.72 Pending confirmation from Council, we would ask the JRPP require a more reasonable performance bond in the order of \$40,000. The bond is protecting the same assets and therefore should be consistent with previous stages. It is therefore requested that Condition 120 be amended generally as follows:</li> <li>Prior to issue of any Occupation Certificate or the issue of a Subdivision Certificate for Stages 3 or 4, the Applicant shall lodge with the Council a performance bond of \$440,000 against defective public civil works undertaken by the main Contractor for a period of twelve (12) months from the date of the issue of all occupation certificates for all dwellings. The bond shall be lodged in the form of a cash deposit, cheque or unconditional bank guarantee which will be refundabl</li></ul>	

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
Condition 121 Prior to issue of the Subdivision Certificate for Stage 4, the applicant shall dedicate, at no cost to Council, a drainage easement of 2 metres wide over the proposed allotment No. 66, 67 and 68 in favour of Council for the as-built stormwater drainage pipe on Road No. 5. The standard wording of the terms of the Drainage Easement shall be in accordance with Part 3 of Schedule 4A of the Conveyancing Act 1919. Prior to issue of the Subdivision Certificate, a registered surveyor is to provide certification that the Council's drainage system pipe are wholly contained within the proposed drainage easement. (Reason: Maintenance requirement)	<ul> <li>Condition 121 – S88B Instrument for Council Pipe         Prior to issue of the Subdivision Certificate for Stage 4, the applicant shall dedicate, at no cost to Council, a drainage easement of 2 metres wide over the proposed allotment No. 66, 67 and 68 in favour of Council for the as-built stormwater drainage pipe on Road No. 5. The standard wording of the terms of the Drainage Easement shall be in accordance with Part 3 of Schedule 4A of the Conveyancing Act 1919.     </li> <li>Prior to issue of the Subdivision Certificate, a registered surveyor is to provide certification that the Council's drainage system pipe are wholly contained within the proposed drainage easement. (Reason: Maintenance requirement)     </li> <li>1.73 The easement crossing lots 66, 67 &amp; 68 is a continuation of an existing easement (DP 122711) that runs along the eastern boundary of the site adjacent to 82 Eastern Valley Way. The existing easement is 1.525 metres wide. The submitted drainage drawings provided a 1.525 metre wide continuation of the existing easement which is sufficient to cater for a drainage pipe with diameter 375mm.</li> <li>1.74 It is therefore requested that Condition 121 be amended to read:</li> <li>Prior to issue of the Subdivision Certificate for Stage 4, the applicant shall dedicate, at no cost to Council, a drainage pipe on Road No. 5. The standard wording of the terns of the Drainage easement of 2 1.525 metres wide as an extension to the existing drainage easement of 2 1.525 metres wide All the Sin favour of Council for the asbuilt stormwater drainage pipe on Road No. 5. The standard wording of the terns of the Drainage Easement shall be in accordance with Part 3 of Schedule 4A of the Convey for the asbuilt stormwater drainage pipe on Road No. 5. The standard wording of the terns of the Drainage Easement of 2 1.525 metres wide as an extension to the existing drainage easement by 122711 over the proposed allotment No. 66, 67 and 68 in favour of Council for the asbuilt stormwat</li></ul>	Not agreed. The requirement for the 2 metre easement is stipulated by Part C.5 of the Willoughby Development Control Plan. The 2 metre easement can readily be accommodated within the right of carriageway.
<b>Condition 130</b> The Windsor Road Public Reserve being identified as Superlot 11 on DA-2004/521 is to be developed in accordance with approved landscape plans as part of DA-2004/521. No consent is given to works on the Windsor Road Public Reserve as part of this Development Application. (Reason: Ensure Compliance)	<ul> <li>Condition 130 – Windsor Rd Public Reserve</li> <li>The Windsor Road Public Reserve being identified as Superlot 11 on DA-2004/521 is to be developed in accordance with approved landscape plans as part of DA-2004/521. No consent is given to works on the Windsor Road Public Reserve as part of this Development Application. (Reason: Ensure Compliance)</li> <li>1.75 As the Windsor Road Public Reserve currently forms part of the development site (has not been dedicated to Council), the second part of this condition is not applicable and should be deleted. As per our request to include stepping stones, the condition should be amended to reflect the amended landscape treatment approved under this DA.</li> <li>The Windsor Road Public Reserve being identified as Superlot 11 on DA-2004/521 is to be developed in accordance with approved landscape plans as part of DA-2004/521 as amended by DA 2012/311. No consent is given to works on the Windsor Road Public Reserve as part of this Development Application. (Reason: Ensure Compliance)</li> </ul>	Not agreed. Approval for the landscape works was given as part of DA-2004/521. The design of the landscape works, as approved, was the result of significant public consultation. The Windsor Road Public Reserve, while not currently public land, will be. The proposed modifications to the public reserve to accommodate pathways for private use is not supported by Council's Open Space Manager who will have the ongoing responsibility of the park including its maintenance and public liability.

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
Condition in dispute Condition 136 Replacement planting proposed within the road reserve may be undertaken subject to the following conditions: i) The cost of all works being borne by the applicant. ii) All service location checks and liability being the responsibility of the applicant. iii) The applicant is to maintain the planting in the road reserve for a period of 52 weeks following planting Plants are to be maintained in a healthy condition, weeds suppressed and any dead plant material replaced. Following the 52 week maintenance period, the applicant is to contact Council's Open Space Section to arrange a site inspection prior to handover to Council. iv) Council retains the right to prune or remove the planting	Condition 136 – Road Reserve Planting         Replacement planting proposed within the road reserve may be undertaken subject to the following conditions:         i)       The cost of all works being borne by the applicant.         ii)       All service location checks and liability being the responsibility of the applicant.         iii)       The applicant is to maintain the planting in the road reserve for a period of 52 weeks following planting         Plants are to be maintained in a healthy condition, weeds suppressed and any dead plant material replaced.         Following the 52 week maintenance period, the applicant is to contact Council's Open Space Section to arrange a site inspection prior to handover to Council.         iv)       Council retains the right to prune or remove the planting as may be required for road or service maintenance and safety.         (Reason: Management of public assets)       1.76         1.76       This condition requires a 12 month maintenance period which is considered excessive. It is generally considered that plants and trees require up to 3 months for site establishment. An additional 3 months maintenance following this would be considered more reasonable. It is therefore requested that Condition 136 be amended to read:         Replacement planting proposed within the road reserve may be undertaken subject to the following conditions:         i)       The cost of all works being borne by the applicant.         ii)       All service location checks and liability being the responsibility of the applicant.         iii	Councils Response         Not agreed. Modified condition recommended.         The basis for imposing the condition was to ensure that planting is maintained for a full seasonal cycle by the applicant prior to handover. The planting on the road verge provides a significant benefit to the development providing a buffer particularly to dwellings 68 to 76 and Accessway No. 53, which cannot be accommodated on the site itself. As it is also the interface between the development and the most exposed public domain, it is critical that the planting is properly established prior to the burden of maintenance being borne by Council.         To ensure this, maintenance over the full 12 month seasonal cycle is required to be provided by the applicant.         In addition, a typographical error is noted in the drafting of the condition which should read "Replacement planting proposed within the road reserve is to be undertaken subject to the following conditions."
is to contact Council's Open Space Section to arrange a site inspection prior to handover to Council. iv) Council retains the right to prune or remove the planting as may be required for road or service maintenance and safety.	52-26 weeks following planting Plants are to be maintained in a healthy condition, weeds suppressed and any dead plant material replaced.	
as may be required for road or	iv) Council retains the right to prune or remove the planting as may be required for	

Condition in dispute	Applicants Response	Councils Response
Condition 143 The buildings/structure or part thereof shall not be occupied or used until an interim occupation / final occupation certificate has been issued in respect of the buildings or part. An occupation certificate shall not be issued in respect of the	Condition 143 – Occupation Certificate         The buildings/structure or part thereof shall not be occupied or used until an interim occupation / final occupation certificate has been issued in respect of the buildings or part.         An occupation certificate shall not be issued in respect of the buildings or part until the proposed lots have been created and registration of the appropriate survey plan by Land Titles Office. (Reason: Safety)         1.77       The above is noted on the consent as an advisory note. We are unclear as to why the second part of the note is being imposed and were such requirement is required under the EPAA Act and Regulations. Accordingly, it is requested that the second line of the advisory note be deleted as follows:         The buildings/structure or part thereof shall not be occupied or used until an interim occupation / final occupation certificate has been issued in respect of the buildings or part.	Not agreed. Due to the BCA fire separation requirements occupation certificates cannot be issued for dwellings which straddle lots (unless constructed accordingly), and in fact the issue of a Construction Certificate is also difficult and will require an alternative solution. Currently, the development site comprises a number of allotments which are unrelated to the development and the proposed dwellings will straddle these allotments. Not until the allotments are created can the occupation certificates be issued because there will be no way of confirming if the dwellings meet the requirements of the BCA.
buildings or part until the proposed lots have been created and registration of the appropriate survey plan by Land Titles Office. (Reason: Safety)		